

## THE RICHMOND DISPATCH.

BY THE DISPATCH COMPANY.

The DAILY DISPATCH is delivered to subscribers at FIFTEEN CENTS per week, payable to the carrier weekly. Mailed at \$6 per annum; \$2 for three months; \$1.50 for three months; 50c for one month. Price per copy, 3 cents.

The WEEKLY DISPATCH at \$1 per annum.

The SUNDAY DISPATCH at \$1.50 per annum; or 5c, for six months.

Subscriptions in all cases payable in advance, and no paper continued after the expiration of the time paid for. Send post-office money-order, check, or registered letter. Currency sent by mail will be at the risk of the sender. Subscribers wishing their post-office changed must give their old, as well as their new, post-office. Sample copies free.

## ADVERTISING RATES.

HALF INCH OR LESS.

1 line..... \$1.00  
2 lines..... \$1.50  
3 lines..... \$2.00  
4 lines..... \$2.50  
5 lines..... \$3.00  
6 lines..... \$3.50  
7 lines..... \$4.00  
8 lines..... \$4.50  
9 lines..... \$5.00  
10 lines..... \$5.50  
11 lines..... \$6.00  
12 lines..... \$6.50  
13 lines..... \$7.00  
14 lines..... \$7.50  
15 lines..... \$8.00  
16 lines..... \$8.50  
17 lines..... \$9.00  
18 lines..... \$9.50  
19 lines..... \$10.00  
20 lines..... \$10.50  
21 lines..... \$11.00  
22 lines..... \$11.50  
23 lines..... \$12.00  
24 lines..... \$12.50  
25 lines..... \$13.00  
26 lines..... \$13.50  
27 lines..... \$14.00  
28 lines..... \$14.50  
29 lines..... \$15.00  
30 lines..... \$15.50  
31 lines..... \$16.00  
32 lines..... \$16.50  
33 lines..... \$17.00  
34 lines..... \$17.50  
35 lines..... \$18.00  
36 lines..... \$18.50  
37 lines..... \$19.00  
38 lines..... \$19.50  
39 lines..... \$20.00  
40 lines..... \$20.50  
41 lines..... \$21.00  
42 lines..... \$21.50  
43 lines..... \$22.00  
44 lines..... \$22.50  
45 lines..... \$23.00  
46 lines..... \$23.50  
47 lines..... \$24.00  
48 lines..... \$24.50  
49 lines..... \$25.00  
50 lines..... \$25.50  
51 lines..... \$26.00  
52 lines..... \$26.50  
53 lines..... \$27.00  
54 lines..... \$27.50  
55 lines..... \$28.00  
56 lines..... \$28.50  
57 lines..... \$29.00  
58 lines..... \$29.50  
59 lines..... \$30.00  
60 lines..... \$30.50  
61 lines..... \$31.00  
62 lines..... \$31.50  
63 lines..... \$32.00  
64 lines..... \$32.50  
65 lines..... \$33.00  
66 lines..... \$33.50  
67 lines..... \$34.00  
68 lines..... \$34.50  
69 lines..... \$35.00  
70 lines..... \$35.50  
71 lines..... \$36.00  
72 lines..... \$36.50  
73 lines..... \$37.00  
74 lines..... \$37.50  
75 lines..... \$38.00  
76 lines..... \$38.50  
77 lines..... \$39.00  
78 lines..... \$39.50  
79 lines..... \$40.00  
80 lines..... \$40.50  
81 lines..... \$41.00  
82 lines..... \$41.50  
83 lines..... \$42.00  
84 lines..... \$42.50  
85 lines..... \$43.00  
86 lines..... \$43.50  
87 lines..... \$44.00  
88 lines..... \$44.50  
89 lines..... \$45.00  
90 lines..... \$45.50  
91 lines..... \$46.00  
92 lines..... \$46.50  
93 lines..... \$47.00  
94 lines..... \$47.50  
95 lines..... \$48.00  
96 lines..... \$48.50  
97 lines..... \$49.00  
98 lines..... \$49.50  
99 lines..... \$50.00  
100 lines..... \$50.50

All letters and telegrams must be addressed to THE DISPATCH COMPANY.

REJECTED COMMUNICATIONS WILL NOT BE RETURNED.

17-TOWN OFFICE, 43 EAST BROAD STREET.

WEST-END OFFICE, PARK-PLACE PHARMACY, Belvidere and Main streets.

MANCHESTER OFFICE, 123 HULL STREET.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1896.

THIS PAPER RECEIVES THE COMBINED TELEGRAPHIC-NEWS SERVICE OF THE SOUTHERN ASSOCIATED PRESS AND THE UNITED PRESS.

Relations of England and Germany.

There seems no question that the relations between Germany and Great Britain have by the Transvaal incident been strained to a serious point, and that the most threatening war-cloud hangs over Europe it has known for years. Whether that cloud will burst it is, of course, impossible to say, but there are many reasons for expecting such a possibility that have not entered into the complications producing other European wars of recent date. The chief among these reasons is found in the temper of the two parties to the quarrel. Both nations are evidently in the humor for fighting. The provocation, or as it is termed in England, "insult," given Great Britain by Germany is not of a nature that can easily be smoothed over or explained by diplomacy, and the manner in which Great Britain has resented the "insult" is a telegram of congratulation to President Kruger has stirred British blood to fever heat, and the German people are said to be almost a unit in endorsing the Emperor's action, and to be fully aroused to the necessity of calling a halt to British aggression. The German Emperor has had frequent conferences with representatives of the Boer Government, to whom he renewed his assurances of sympathy with the republic, as against British designs in South Africa, and suggested the Transvaal, Salisbury has, it is reported, notified Germany that Great Britain will maintain, at all cost, her rights in that quarter—that is, her suzerainty—secured under the convention of 1884, and both Germany and England are making naval and other preparations for any emergency that may arise.

Another fact that adds gravity to the complication is that British and German colonial interests were not thrown into direct conflict by the South African trouble. There was not acute provocation for Emperor William's telegram. The conclusion therefore is that it was written, so to speak, with premeditation, and summarized a policy touching British rapacity in the direction of territorial expansion at large, that the Emperor had been simply waiting a favorable opportunity to proclaim. Indeed, the comments of the German press on the Emperor's stand practically admit that much.

The situation thus presented is consequently one that it is hard to see the way out of, except through a fight, a back-down by one or the other of the parties, or the intervention of a mutual friend. So far there is no indication of a back-down on either side, and as the other Powers seem inclined to support Germany, seeing that all of them are tired of British aggression, the prospect of the first-named method of settlement is emphasized. However, whether war events or not, certain it is that Great Britain has not in years been so isolated as at present, and that she is receiving a most wholesome lesson in respect of the matter of land-grabbing and bullying weak neighbors.

What is Expected of the Legislature.

If the Dispatch is right in its belief that the Legislature does not mean to submit the question of a constitutional convention, then it may give up its hope of the passage of any reform bills—the Beaumont-Vindictor.

Our hopes are not great or glowing. What we maintain is this—that the opponents of a convention who are in the Legislature have put themselves in a position where they must show by their acts that there is no need of a convention, or else they must stand discredited before the people; discredited on this question, we mean.

It is the unfailing argument of anti-convention men that no convention is needed to revise our Constitution because everything that a convention could do for the relief of the people this Legislature can do, or can put into shape to be done by its successor and the people.

All right, we say. What amendments to the Constitution do you propose to submit to the next Legislature and to the people? What acts of Assembly do you propose to pass to reduce the expenses of State and county governments, and improve and expedite the administration of criminal justice? Gentlemen, you have said that you could do for the people all that a convention could do; now be as good as your word.

If the Legislature disappoints the people this time, its old plea will avail it no more. Then there will be a general demand for a convention to revise and amend the work of those eminent constitution-makers—Judge Underwood, Doctor Bayne & Co.

If it is in the power of the Legislature to satisfy the people, and to silence the demand for a convention, it ought to do so right speedily. If it is not in its power, it should make a candid confession of that fact.

Sensible Senators.

The members of the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Affairs have shown good sense in allowing themselves to be quoted on the question of arbitration by Mr. Henry Norman, the special correspondent of the London Chronicle. The senatorial sentiment is for arbitration; the course proposed by this government in the Venezuela case, and rejected by Lord Salisbury, but which is likely to be forced upon Salisbury by such publications as the Chronicle is making.

The question that Mr. Norman asked was: "Would it, in your opinion, be well for the governments of the United States and Great Britain to endeavor to agree upon the constitution of a permanent court or tribunal of arbitration, to which all questions at issue between the two governments not involving national autonomy should be submitted for decision when diplomatic negotiations fail?"

The following are some of the replies: "Senator Sherman: I am in favor of the principle of arbitration for all questions that do not involve the autonomy of either of the two countries. I hope that the two governments will agree upon such a mode of settlement for all controversies arising between them."

Reading notes in reading-matter type, five lines or less; in nonpareil, leaded, five lines or more, 75 cents.

Card of rates for more space furnished on application.

All letters and telegrams must be addressed to THE DISPATCH COMPANY.

REJECTED COMMUNICATIONS WILL NOT BE RETURNED.

17-TOWN OFFICE, 43 EAST BROAD STREET.

WEST-END OFFICE, PARK-PLACE PHARMACY, Belvidere and Main streets.

MANCHESTER OFFICE, 123 HULL STREET.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1896.

THIS PAPER RECEIVES THE COMBINED TELEGRAPHIC-NEWS SERVICE OF THE SOUTHERN ASSOCIATED PRESS AND THE UNITED PRESS.

Relations of England and Germany.

There seems no question that the relations between Germany and Great Britain have by the Transvaal incident been strained to a serious point, and that the most threatening war-cloud hangs over Europe it has known for years. Whether that cloud will burst it is, of course, impossible to say, but there are many reasons for expecting such a possibility that have not entered into the complications producing other European wars of recent date. The chief among these reasons is found in the temper of the two parties to the quarrel. Both nations are evidently in the humor for fighting. The provocation, or as it is termed in England, "insult," given Great Britain by Germany is not of a nature that can easily be smoothed over or explained by diplomacy, and the manner in which Great Britain has resented the "insult" is a telegram of congratulation to President Kruger has stirred British blood to fever heat, and the German people are said to be almost a unit in endorsing the Emperor's action, and to be fully aroused to the necessity of calling a halt to British aggression. The German Emperor has had frequent conferences with representatives of the Boer Government, to whom he renewed his assurances of sympathy with the republic, as against British designs in South Africa, and suggested the Transvaal, Salisbury has, it is reported, notified Germany that Great Britain will maintain, at all cost, her rights in that quarter—that is, her suzerainty—secured under the convention of 1884, and both Germany and England are making naval and other preparations for any emergency that may arise.

Another fact that adds gravity to the complication is that British and German colonial interests were not thrown into direct conflict by the South African trouble. There was not acute provocation for Emperor William's telegram. The conclusion therefore is that it was written, so to speak, with premeditation, and summarized a policy touching British rapacity in the direction of territorial expansion at large, that the Emperor had been simply waiting a favorable opportunity to proclaim. Indeed, the comments of the German press on the Emperor's stand practically admit that much.

The situation thus presented is consequently one that it is hard to see the way out of, except through a fight, a back-down by one or the other of the parties, or the intervention of a mutual friend. So far there is no indication of a back-down on either side, and as the other Powers seem inclined to support Germany, seeing that all of them are tired of British aggression, the prospect of the first-named method of settlement is emphasized. However, whether war events or not, certain it is that Great Britain has not in years been so isolated as at present, and that she is receiving a most wholesome lesson in respect of the matter of land-grabbing and bullying weak neighbors.

What is Expected of the Legislature.

If the Dispatch is right in its belief that the Legislature does not mean to submit the question of a constitutional convention, then it may give up its hope of the passage of any reform bills—the Beaumont-Vindictor.

Our hopes are not great or glowing. What we maintain is this—that the opponents of a convention who are in the Legislature have put themselves in a position where they must show by their acts that there is no need of a convention, or else they must stand discredited before the people; discredited on this question, we mean.

It is the unfailing argument of anti-convention men that no convention is needed to revise our Constitution because everything that a convention could do for the relief of the people this Legislature can do, or can put into shape to be done by its successor and the people.

All right, we say. What amendments to the Constitution do you propose to submit to the next Legislature and to the people? What acts of Assembly do you propose to pass to reduce the expenses of State and county governments, and improve and expedite the administration of criminal justice? Gentlemen, you have said that you could do for the people all that a convention could do; now be as good as your word.

If the Legislature disappoints the people this time, its old plea will avail it no more. Then there will be a general demand for a convention to revise and amend the work of those eminent constitution-makers—Judge Underwood, Doctor Bayne & Co.

If it is in the power of the Legislature to satisfy the people, and to silence the demand for a convention, it ought to do so right speedily. If it is not in its power, it should make a candid confession of that fact.

Sensible Senators.

The members of the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Affairs have shown good sense in allowing themselves to be quoted on the question of arbitration by Mr. Henry Norman, the special correspondent of the London Chronicle. The senatorial sentiment is for arbitration; the course proposed by this government in the Venezuela case, and rejected by Lord Salisbury, but which is likely to be forced upon Salisbury by such publications as the Chronicle is making.

The question that Mr. Norman asked was: "Would it, in your opinion, be well for the governments of the United States and Great Britain to endeavor to agree upon the constitution of a permanent court or tribunal of arbitration, to which all questions at issue between the two governments not involving national autonomy should be submitted for decision when diplomatic negotiations fail?"

The following are some of the replies: "Senator Sherman: I am in favor of the principle of arbitration for all questions that do not involve the autonomy of either of the two countries. I hope that the two governments will agree upon such a mode of settlement for all controversies arising between them."

Reading notes in reading-matter type, five lines or less; in nonpareil, leaded, five lines or more, 75 cents.

Card of rates for more space furnished on application.

All letters and telegrams must be addressed to THE DISPATCH COMPANY.

REJECTED COMMUNICATIONS WILL NOT BE RETURNED.

17-TOWN OFFICE, 43 EAST BROAD STREET.

WEST-END OFFICE, PARK-PLACE PHARMACY, Belvidere and Main streets.

MANCHESTER OFFICE, 123 HULL STREET.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1896.

THIS PAPER RECEIVES THE COMBINED TELEGRAPHIC-NEWS SERVICE OF THE SOUTHERN ASSOCIATED PRESS AND THE UNITED PRESS.

Relations of England and Germany.

There seems no question that the relations between Germany and Great Britain have by the Transvaal incident been strained to a serious point, and that the most threatening war-cloud hangs over Europe it has known for years. Whether that cloud will burst it is, of course, impossible to say, but there are many reasons for expecting such a possibility that have not entered into the complications producing other European wars of recent date. The chief among these reasons is found in the temper of the two parties to the quarrel. Both nations are evidently in the humor for fighting. The provocation, or as it is termed in England, "insult," given Great Britain by Germany is not of a nature that can easily be smoothed over or explained by diplomacy, and the manner in which Great Britain has resented the "insult" is a telegram of congratulation to President Kruger has stirred British blood to fever heat, and the German people are said to be almost a unit in endorsing the Emperor's action, and to be fully aroused to the necessity of calling a halt to British aggression. The German Emperor has had frequent conferences with representatives of the Boer Government, to whom he renewed his assurances of sympathy with the republic, as against British designs in South Africa, and suggested the Transvaal, Salisbury has, it is reported, notified Germany that Great Britain will maintain, at all cost, her rights in that quarter—that is, her suzerainty—secured under the convention of 1884, and both Germany and England are making naval and other preparations for any emergency that may arise.

Another fact that adds gravity to the complication is that British and German colonial interests were not thrown into direct conflict by the South African trouble. There was not acute provocation for Emperor William's telegram. The conclusion therefore is that it was written, so to speak, with premeditation, and summarized a policy touching British rapacity in the direction of territorial expansion at large, that the Emperor had been simply waiting a favorable opportunity to proclaim. Indeed, the comments of the German press on the Emperor's stand practically admit that much.

The situation thus presented is consequently one that it is hard to see the way out of, except through a fight, a back-down by one or the other of the parties, or the intervention of a mutual friend. So far there is no indication of a back-down on either side, and as the other Powers seem inclined to support Germany, seeing that all of them are tired of British aggression, the prospect of the first-named method of settlement is emphasized. However, whether war events or not, certain it is that Great Britain has not in years been so isolated as at present, and that she is receiving a most wholesome lesson in respect of the matter of land-grabbing and bullying weak neighbors.

What is Expected of the Legislature.

If the Dispatch is right in its belief that the Legislature does not mean to submit the question of a constitutional convention, then it may give up its hope of the passage of any reform bills—the Beaumont-Vindictor.

Our hopes are not great or glowing. What we maintain is this—that the opponents of a convention who are in the Legislature have put themselves in a position where they must show by their acts that there is no need of a convention, or else they must stand discredited before the people; discredited on this question, we mean.

It is the unfailing argument of anti-convention men that no convention is needed to revise our Constitution because everything that a convention could do for the relief of the people this Legislature can do, or can put into shape to be done by its successor and the people.

All right, we say. What amendments to the Constitution do you propose to submit to the next Legislature and to the people? What acts of Assembly do you propose to pass to reduce the expenses of State and county governments, and improve and expedite the administration of criminal justice? Gentlemen, you have said that you could do for the people all that a convention could do; now be as good as your word.

If the Legislature disappoints the people this time, its old plea will avail it no more. Then there will be a general demand for a convention to revise and amend the work of those eminent constitution-makers—Judge Underwood, Doctor Bayne & Co.

If it is in the power of the Legislature to satisfy the people, and to silence the demand for a convention, it ought to do so right speedily. If it is not in its power, it should make a candid confession of that fact.

Sensible Senators.

The members of the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Affairs have shown good sense in allowing themselves to be quoted on the question of arbitration by Mr. Henry Norman, the special correspondent of the London Chronicle. The senatorial sentiment is for arbitration; the course proposed by this government in the Venezuela case, and rejected by Lord Salisbury, but which is likely to be forced upon Salisbury by such publications as the Chronicle is making.

The question that Mr. Norman asked was: "Would it, in your opinion, be well for the governments of the United States and Great Britain to endeavor to agree upon the constitution of a permanent court or tribunal of arbitration, to which all questions at issue between the two governments not involving national autonomy should be submitted for decision when diplomatic negotiations fail?"

The following are some of the replies: "Senator Sherman: I am in favor of the principle of arbitration for all questions that do not involve the autonomy of either of the two countries. I hope that the two governments will agree upon such a mode of settlement for all controversies arising between them."

Reading notes in reading-matter type, five lines or less; in nonpareil, leaded, five lines or more, 75 cents.

Card of rates for more space furnished on application.

All letters and telegrams must be addressed to THE DISPATCH COMPANY.

REJECTED COMMUNICATIONS WILL NOT BE RETURNED.

17-TOWN OFFICE, 43 EAST BROAD STREET.

WEST-END OFFICE, PARK-PLACE PHARMACY, Belvidere and Main streets.

MANCHESTER OFFICE, 123 HULL STREET.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1896.

THIS PAPER RECEIVES THE COMBINED TELEGRAPHIC-NEWS SERVICE OF THE SOUTHERN ASSOCIATED PRESS AND THE UNITED PRESS.

Relations of England and Germany.

There seems no question that the relations between Germany and Great Britain have by the Transvaal incident been strained to a serious point, and that the most threatening war-cloud hangs over Europe it has known for years. Whether that cloud will burst it is, of course, impossible to say, but there are many reasons for expecting such a possibility that have not entered into the complications producing other European wars of recent date. The chief among these reasons is found in the temper of the two parties to the quarrel. Both nations are evidently in the humor for fighting. The provocation, or as it is termed in England, "insult," given Great Britain by Germany is not of a nature that can easily be smoothed over or explained by diplomacy, and the manner in which Great Britain has resented the "insult" is a telegram of congratulation to President Kruger has stirred British blood to fever heat, and the German people are said to be almost a unit in endorsing the Emperor's action, and to be fully aroused to the necessity of calling a halt to British aggression. The German Emperor has had frequent conferences with representatives of the Boer Government, to whom he renewed his assurances of sympathy with the republic, as against British designs in South Africa, and suggested the Transvaal, Salisbury has, it is reported, notified Germany that Great Britain will maintain, at all cost, her rights in that quarter—that is, her suzerainty—secured under the convention of 1884, and both Germany and England are making naval and other preparations for any emergency that may arise.

Another fact that adds gravity to the complication is that British and German colonial interests were not thrown into direct conflict by the South African trouble. There was not acute provocation for Emperor William's telegram. The conclusion therefore is that it was written, so to speak, with premeditation, and summarized a policy touching British rapacity in the direction of territorial expansion at large, that the Emperor had been simply waiting a favorable opportunity to proclaim. Indeed, the comments of the German press on the Emperor's stand practically admit that much.

The situation thus presented is consequently one that it is hard to see the way out of, except through a fight, a back-down by one or the other of the parties, or the intervention of a mutual friend. So far there is no indication of a back-down on either side, and as the other Powers seem inclined to support Germany, seeing that all of them are tired of British aggression, the prospect of the first-named method of settlement is emphasized. However, whether war events or not, certain it is that Great Britain has not in years been so isolated as at present, and that she is receiving a most wholesome lesson in respect of the matter of land-grabbing and bullying weak neighbors.

What is Expected of the Legislature.

If the Dispatch is right in its belief that the Legislature does not mean to submit the question of a constitutional convention, then it may give up its hope of the passage of any reform bills—the Beaumont-Vindictor.

Our hopes are not great or glowing. What we maintain is this—that the opponents of a convention who are in the Legislature have put themselves in a position where they must show by their acts that there is no need of a convention, or else they must stand discredited before the people; discredited on this question, we mean.

It is the unfailing argument of anti-convention men that no convention is needed to revise our Constitution because everything that a convention could do for the relief of the people this Legislature can do, or can put into shape to be done by its successor and the people.

All right, we say. What amendments to the Constitution do you propose to submit to the next Legislature and to the people? What acts of Assembly do you propose to pass to reduce the expenses of State and county governments, and improve and expedite the administration of criminal justice? Gentlemen, you have said that you could do for the people all that a convention could do; now be as good as your word.

If the Legislature disappoints the people this time, its old plea will avail it no more. Then there will be a general demand for a convention to revise and amend the work of those eminent constitution-makers—Judge Underwood, Doctor Bayne & Co.

If it is in the power of the Legislature to satisfy the people, and to silence the demand for a convention, it ought to do so right speedily. If it is not in its power, it should make a candid confession of that fact.

Sensible Senators.

The members of the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Affairs have shown good sense in allowing themselves to be quoted on the question of arbitration by Mr. Henry Norman, the special correspondent of the London Chronicle. The senatorial sentiment is for arbitration; the course proposed by this government in the Venezuela case, and rejected by Lord Salisbury, but which is likely to be forced upon Salisbury by such publications as the Chronicle is making.

The question that Mr. Norman asked was: "Would it, in your opinion, be well for the governments of the United States and Great Britain to endeavor to agree upon the constitution of a permanent court or tribunal of arbitration, to which all questions at issue between the two governments not involving national autonomy should be submitted for decision when diplomatic negotiations fail?"

The following are some of the replies: "Senator Sherman: I am in favor of the principle of arbitration for all questions that do not involve the autonomy of either of the two countries. I hope that the two governments will agree upon such a mode of settlement for all controversies arising between them."

Reading notes in reading-matter type, five lines or less; in nonpareil, leaded, five lines or more, 75 cents.

Card of rates for more space furnished on application.

All letters and telegrams must be addressed to THE DISPATCH COMPANY.

REJECTED COMMUNICATIONS WILL NOT BE RETURNED.

17-TOWN OFFICE, 43 EAST BROAD STREET.

WEST-END OFFICE, PARK-PLACE PHARMACY, Belvidere and Main streets.

MANCHESTER OFFICE, 123 HULL STREET.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 11, 1896.

THIS PAPER RECEIVES THE COMBINED TELEGRAPHIC-NEWS SERVICE OF THE SOUTHERN ASSOCIATED PRESS AND THE UNITED PRESS.

Relations of England and Germany.

There seems no question that the relations between Germany and Great Britain have by the Transvaal incident been strained to a serious point, and that the most threatening war-cloud hangs over Europe it has known for years. Whether that cloud will burst it is, of course, impossible to say, but there are many reasons for expecting such a possibility that have not entered into the complications producing other European wars of recent date. The chief among these reasons is found in the temper of the two parties to the quarrel. Both nations are evidently in the humor for fighting. The provocation, or as it is termed in England, "insult," given Great Britain by Germany is not of a nature that can easily be smoothed over or explained by diplomacy, and the manner in which Great Britain has resented the "insult" is a telegram of congratulation to President Kruger has stirred British blood to fever heat, and the German people are said to be almost a unit in endorsing the Emperor's action, and to be fully aroused to the necessity of calling a halt to British aggression. The German Emperor has had frequent conferences with representatives of the Boer Government, to whom he renewed his assurances of sympathy with the republic, as against British designs in South Africa, and suggested the Transvaal, Salisbury has, it is reported, notified Germany that Great Britain will maintain, at all cost, her rights in that quarter—that is, her suzerainty—secured under the convention of 1884, and both Germany and England are making naval and other preparations for any emergency that may arise.

Another fact that adds gravity to the complication is that British and German colonial interests were not thrown into direct conflict by the South African trouble. There was not acute provocation for Emperor William's telegram. The conclusion therefore is that it was written, so to speak, with premeditation, and summarized a policy touching British rapacity in the direction of territorial expansion at large, that the Emperor had been simply waiting a favorable opportunity to proclaim. Indeed, the comments of the German press on the Emperor's stand practically admit that much.

The situation thus presented is consequently one that it is hard to see the way out of, except through a fight, a back-down by one or the other of the parties, or the intervention of a mutual friend. So far there is no indication of a back-down on either side, and as the other Powers seem inclined to support Germany, seeing that all of them are tired of British aggression, the prospect of the first-named method of settlement is emphasized. However, whether war events or not, certain it is that Great Britain has not in years been so isolated as at present, and that she is receiving a most wholesome lesson in respect of the matter of land-grabbing and bullying weak neighbors.

What is Expected of the Legislature.

If the Dispatch is right in its belief that the Legislature does not mean to submit the question of a constitutional convention, then it may give up its hope of the passage of any reform bills—the Beaumont-Vindictor.

Our hopes are not great or glowing. What we maintain is this—that the opponents of a convention who are in the Legislature have put themselves in a position where they must show by their acts that there is no need of a convention, or else they must stand discredited before the people; discredited on this question, we mean.

It is the unfailing argument of anti-convention men that no convention is needed to revise our Constitution because everything that a convention could do for the relief of the people this Legislature can do, or can put into shape to be done by its successor and the people.

All right, we say. What amendments to the Constitution do you propose to submit to the next Legislature and to the people? What acts of Assembly do you propose to pass to reduce the expenses of State and county governments, and improve and expedite the administration of criminal justice? Gentlemen, you have said that you could do for the people all that a convention could do; now be as good as your word.

If the Legislature disappoints the people this time, its old plea will avail it no more. Then there will be a general demand for a convention to revise and amend the work of those eminent constitution-makers—Judge Underwood, Doctor Bayne & Co.

If it is in the power of the Legislature to satisfy the people, and to silence the demand for a convention, it ought to do so right speedily. If it is not in its power, it should make a candid confession of that fact.

Sensible Senators.

The members of the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Affairs have shown good sense in allowing themselves to be quoted on the question of arbitration by Mr. Henry Norman, the special correspondent of the London Chronicle. The senatorial sentiment is for arbitration; the course proposed by this government in the Venezuela case, and rejected by Lord Salisbury, but which is likely to be forced upon Salisbury by such publications as the Chronicle is making.

The question that Mr. Norman asked was: "Would it, in your opinion, be well for the governments of the United States and Great Britain to endeavor to agree upon the constitution of a permanent court or tribunal of arbitration, to which all questions at issue between the two governments not involving national autonomy should be submitted for decision when diplomatic negotiations fail?"

The following are some of the replies: "Senator Sherman: I am in favor of the principle of arbitration for all questions that do not involve the autonomy of either of the two countries. I hope that the two governments will agree upon such a mode of settlement for all controversies arising between them."

Reading notes in reading-matter type, five lines or less; in nonpareil, leaded, five lines or more, 75 cents.